Application No.: 10/815,766 Docket No.: 8733.1048.00

Amendment dated December 29, 2005

Reply to Office Action dated September 29, 2005

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated September 29, 2005 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

By this Response, the title of the invention and the Abstract have been amended, and claims 1, 2, 11, 14, 17-26 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections and rejection in view of the above amendments and the following remarks are respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, the title of the invention is objected to as not being descriptive. Applicant has amended the title of the invention. Accordingly, the objection is overcome. Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

In the Office Action, claim claims 6, 7, 21, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant elects not to rewrite these claims in independent form at this time to permit the Examiner an opportunity to reconsideration the objections and rejection in view of the remarks in this paper. Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

In the Office Action, claim 1 is objected to because of an informality. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the suggested changes and have amended claim 1 accordingly. Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-5, 8-20, 23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Related Art (hereafter "ARA") in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0202150, issued to Lee (hereafter "Lee"). Applicant respectfully traverse the rejection because Lee is not valid prior art against the claims of the present application. Specifically, the present application serial number 10/815,766 claims priority to Korean Application No. P03-21117, filed April 3, 2003, which antedates the April 30, 2003 U.S. filing

Docket No.: 8733.1048.00

Application No.: 10/815,766

Amendment dated December 29, 2005

Reply to Office Action dated September 29, 2005

date of Lee. To perfect this claim for priority, Applicant files herewith a certified English translation of Korean Application No. P03-21117.

Applicant further traverses the rejection because ARA, alone, fails to teach or suggest the combined features recited in the claims of the present application. For example, ARA fails to teach or suggest a liquid crystal display of horizontal electric field applying type that includes, among other features, "a package mold material for capsulating the pads and the driving integrated circuit" as recited in independent claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-5 and 8-16.

ARA also fails to teach or suggest a method for fabricating a liquid crystal display of horizontal electric field applying type that includes, among other features, "capsulating a pad connected with the driving integrated circuit with a package mold material" as recited in independent claim 17 and its dependent claims 18-20, 23, 25 and 26.

Because ARA fails teach at least these features, Applicant respectfully submit the claims of the present application are allowable over ARA. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are requested.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments and remarks place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

Application No.: 10/815,766 Docket No.: 8733.1048.00

Amendment dated December 29, 2005

Reply to Office Action dated September 29, 2005

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: December 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By Vallrue P. Hayes
Valerie P. Hayes

Registration No.: 53,005

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant